

Original article

Comparative protein profile studies and *in silico* structural/functional analysis of HMGR (*Ap*HMGR) *in Andrographis paniculata* (Burm.f.) Wall. ex Nees

Byreddi Bhavani Venkata Bindu, Mote Srinath, Aayeti Shailaja and Charu Chandra Giri Centre for Plant Molecular Biology (CPMB), Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007, Telangana State, India

Received May 7, 2017: Revised June 5, 2017: Accepted June 10, 2017: Published online June 30, 2017

Abstract

Andrographis paniculata (Burm.f.) Wall. ex Nees (Ap) contains andrographolide, i.e., synthesized via cytosolic MVA and plastidial MEP pathways using IPP and DMAPP as precursor molecules. HMGR catalyzes the first committed and rate-limiting step in MVA pathway. Limited information is available on structural and functional aspects of key enzyme HMGR. In a preliminary study, the in vivo leaves and light/dark grown callus was used for protein profile analysis. The callus growth and andrographolide content through HPLC analysis was carried out. SDS-PAGE total protein profile of in vivo leaves, light, and dark grown callus was investigated. A high intensity band of 62.3 KD protein indicating its proximity with HMGR enzyme was observed in leaves and light callus compared to dark grown callus. On this background, the present communication reports bioinformatics analysis of HMGR enzyme to decipher its functional and structural properties. The isoelectric point (pI), molecular weight and hydrophobicity were calculated using Expasy tools. The secondary (2D) and tertiary (3D) structures were predicted and validated using PROCHECK SAVES algorithm. Our finding on the allowed percentage (88.2%) of amino acid residues in the Ramachandran plot indicated that the simulated 3D structure was reliable. Phylogenetic analysis of A. paniculata HMGR (ApHMGR) with different plants and other organisms including humans revealed close relationships and common lineage. The protein-protein interaction studies using STRING 10 tool revealed a close association of HMGR and nine other proteins in MVA and MEP pathways. The present analyzed data will give an insight on the structural and function attributes corresponding to this important HMGR protein. The molecular and biochemical understanding of HMGR protein will be advantageous for andrographolide yield enhancement through metabolic engineering.

Key words: Andrographis paniculata (Burm.f.) Wall. ex Nees, ApHMGR, 3D structures, protein profile, phylogenetic analysis, protein-protein interactions

1. Introduction

Andrographis paniculata of family Acanthaceae, popularly known as king of bitters, is widely cultivated in Southern and South eastern Asia (Sareer *et al.*, 2014). *A. paniculata* contains main bioactive compounds such as andrographolide (AD), neo-andrographolide (NAD), and 14-deoxy-11, 12-didehydroandrographolide (DAD) (Subramanian *et al.*, 2012; Latha *et al.*, 2017). These compounds are produced mainly by two distinct biosynthetic pathways, *i.e.*, mevalonate (MVA) and methylerythritol 4-phosphate (MEP). MVA pathway occurs in cytosol whereas MEP pathway takes place in plastid (Figure 1). Until date, these two pathways have not been studied thoroughly and very less information is available on the key genes and enzymes of these pathways (Srivastava and Akhila, 2010). Recently, as per Lipko and Swiezewska (2016), MVA is one

Author for correspondence: Dr. Charu Chandra Giri Professor, Centre for Plant Molecular Biology (CPMB), Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007, Telangana State, India E-mail: giriccin@yahoo.co.in

Tel.: +91-040-27098087

Copyright @ 2017 Ukaaz Publications. All rights reserved. Email: ukaaz@yahoo.com; Website: www.ukaazpublications.com of the major biosynthetic routes associated with terpenoid synthesis, which provides the key precursors such as isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP). *A. paniculata* has several medicinal properties such as antidiarrhoeal, anti-inflammatory, hepatoprotective, anticancer, antidepressive and anti-HIV (Niranjan *et al.*, 2010; Suriyo *et al.*, 2017).

3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (HMGR) enzyme is found to be coded and regulated by multigene families in plants (Guo *et al.*, 2015). The presence of several copies of these genes in plants attributes its relationship with many physiological processes, thus depicts its biological significance and importance. However, the number of structurally characterized proteins in plants is small and limited compared to number of known protein sequences. The 3D structure of proteins is stable and conserved than primary structure (amino acid sequence). Homology modelling has proven as the method of choice to generate reliable 3D model of a protein from its amino acid sequence (Prasada *et al.*, 2010). Currently, *in silico* methods and tools have provided numerous advantages over time consuming wet-lab experiments on characterization of proteins (Proctor *et al.*, 2016; Singh and Srivastava, 2016). The MVA is synthesized from HMG-CoA by the regulation of key rate limiting enzyme HMGR. In addition, HMGR has also shown to be a fundamental key enzyme of terpenoid biosynthesis in *A. paniculata* (Jha *et al.*, 2011).

Further, in our laboratory we have a comprehensive research programme on the geographical distribution of *A. paniculata* and related species, haplotyping, screening and yield enhancement of bioactive compounds using biotechnological and molecular approaches (Neeraja *et al.*, 2015; Arolla *et al.*, 2015; Parlapally *et al.*, 2015; Zaheer and Giri, 2015; Neeraja *et al.*, 2016; Zaheer and Giri, 2017). Plant tissue culture is an alternative tool for production of bioactive compounds and callus culture system generally used for detection of biologically active molecules in cultured plant tissue *in vitro* (Dias *et al.*, 2016; Giri and Zaheer, 2016; Abd El-Aal *et al.*, 2016). Meagre information is available on gene and enzymes involved in diterpene lactone biosynthesis with particular reference to HMGR

in non-model plant *A. paniculata*. HMGR being a key regulatory rate-limiting enzyme in MVA pathway, the study on *Ap*HMGR gains significance. Therefore, there is a need to understand and elucidate structural/functional properties of HMGR. HPLC analysis of *in vivo* leaves, callus grown in dark/light and andrographolide content was estimated to elucidate possible correlation of the enzyme and specific secondary metabolite synthesis. The protein profiling of *in vivo* leaves and callus using sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was carried out to study proteins related to enzymes in andrographolide biosynthetic pathway. *In silico* analysis of HMGR protein is an attempt in this direction to generate further information. The present communication reports protein profile analysis and in detail *in silico* study on the structural, functional, phylogenic analysis and protein-protein interaction of *Ap*HMGR.



Figure 1: Net house grown Andrographis paniculata plant and MVA/MEP pathways showing key HMGR enzyme in A. paniculata.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Plant tissue culture, HPLC and protein profile analysis

2.1.1 Collection of seeds and establishment of *A. paniculata* plants *in vivo* and *in vitro*

The seeds of *A.paniculata* were sown on soil in net house conditions at Centre for Plant Molecular Biology (CPMB), Osmania University, Hyderabad, India. The plants were established by regular supplement of Hoagland nutrient solution (Hoagland and Arnon, 1938) twice a day. Seeds were collected from these established plants and used for different experiments in the laboratory. The green pods were surface sterilized with 0.1% (w/v) mercuric chloride for 10 min, followed by washing with sterile distilled water 5 times with five minutes for each wash. The surface sterilized pods were inoculated aseptically on MS (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) medium without plant growth regulators (PGRs) and 2.0 mg/l GA₃ supplemented media for germination and establishment of aseptic cultures. Seeds were incubated in dark for one week with subsequent transfer to light conditions and all the cultures were maintained at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C.

2.1.2 Induction of callus and growth study

The callus was induced, established using cotyledon and hypocotyl explants on MS media containing 1.0 mg/1 2, 4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2, 4-D) and 0.5 mg/1 Kinetin (Kn). The callus induced and maintained both in dark/light conditions with same combination of MS medium. These cultures were maintained by sub-culturing at a regular interval of four weeks. The growth study of callus cultures were performed by taking fresh weight (FW) and dry weight (DW) of cultures every week until the sub-culturing time (up to 4 weeks). The fresh weight was taken, samples were kept for drying in an incubator for 72 h. at 60^oC and dry weight data was scored.

2.1.3 Statistical analysis

All the data on germination of seeds and callus growth in *A. paniculata* was recorded for analysis after four weeks of culture. Statistical analysis was carried out to calculate the mean and the standard error.

2.1.4 Extraction of andrographolide from leaf, callus and HPLC analysis

Samples of leaf and callus were oven-dried and ground using mortar and pestle. Dry powdered material of leaf and callus samples weighing 50 mg were transferred to vials. About 5.0 mL of HPLCgrade methanol was added to each sample and incubated for 24 h. at ambient temperature. Final extract was ultra-sonicated using an ultrasonic cleaning bath (Spectra Lab., Model UCB 30, India) for 30 min. The final extract was first filtered using Whatman filter paper No. 41 subsequent to sonication. The filtrate was further passed through 0.45 μ m disposable hydrophilic PVDF membrane filters (Millex-HV, Millipore, Ireland) before its injection into HPLC system for analysis. The HPLC analysis was performed as per the protocol reported earlier from our laboratory (Zaheer and Giri, 2015).

2.1.5 Protein isolation and SDS-PAGE

Total protein was isolated from *in vivo* leaf, dark and light grown callus using acetone precipitation method as per Talei *et al.* (2014). The quantitative analysis was performed by Bradford method (Bradford, 1976). The spectrophotometric analysis of protein was done at 595 nm. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was used as a standard protein for all the experiments. The qualitative analysis was performed using SDS-PAGE (Laemmli, 1970). The protein samples were run on 5% stacking gel and 12% separating gel at 90 volts per cm. The staining was done using 0.25% (w/v) coomassie brilliant blue G-250 in glacial acetic acid, methanol and water at 1:2:2 ratio. The bands were observed on image viewer and data was collected.

2.2 In silico study

2.2.1 Primary sequence collection and analysis of biochemical properties

*Ap*HMGR sequence with accession number AAL28015.2 was obtained from NCBI and biochemical properties were analyzed. Estimation of pI and molecular weight was carried out using Expasy tools website: Compute pI/Mw tool (Kyte and Doolittle, 1982). Hydropathy-plot analysis was performed using the ProtScale tool, available from the Expasy online server.

2.2.2 Prediction of secondary, tertiary (3D) structure and validation

The secondary structure of protein was predicted using CFSSP prediction server (Chou and Fasman, 1974; Dor *et al.*, 2016). Analysis of protein sequence was carried out to predict the alpha helix, extended beta sheet and random coil structures contribution at each position based on 17 amino acid sequence window. SWISS-MODEL server was used for protein 3D structure prediction (Kiefer *et al.*, 2009). Structure databases and protein sequence was identified for homology modelling. Energy levels and protein stabilization was taken into account to optimise model structure. Visualization of 3D structure was carried out using WebLab Viewer Lite 4. PROCHECK analysis was performed for the evaluation of 3D model stereo chemical qualities. 3D structure stereo chemical reliability was analyzed using Ramchandran plot (Laskowski *et al.*, 1996). All 20 amino acids were analyzed considering most allowed and disallowed regions.

2.2.3 BLAST analysis and multiple sequence alignment (MSA)

BLASTp (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool- protein) was performed to compare the *Ap*HMGR protein sequence with other plant non-redundant protein data available in the NCBI. To find out the percentage of similarity and conserved regions between the *Ap*HMGR, *Homo sapiens* (*Hs*HMGR) and *Mus musculus* (*Mm*HMGR)multiple sequence alignment (CLUSTAL W2) was performed. The default parameters were considered for running the programme and analysing the data.

2.2.4 Motifs and domain analysis

The conserved motifs were analyzed among different plants of 30 species which are highly similar with A_p HMGR and other organisms like H_s HMGR and MmHMGR using the program of multiple Em for motif elicitation (MEME; version 4.10.2). The parameters of MEME analysis were applied as follows: minimum width for each Motif: six; maximum width for each motif: fifty; maximum number of motifs to find is three and the numbers of repetitions are zero or one per sequence (Bailey *et al.*, 2006). TMHMM server V.2.0 (CBS; Denmark) guided by hidden Markov model (HMM) was used to analyze trans-membrane helices in the A_p HMGR as per Krogh *et al.* (2001). The elucidation of A_p HMGR structural orientation was performed using Phyre2 (Kelley *et al.*, 2015).

2.2.5 Analysis of ligand binding site, phylogenetic and proteinprotein interaction studies

The evaluation of predicted 3D structure for ligand binding was carried out using bioinformatics tool for site prediction (Wass *et al.*, 2010). The identification of homologous structures with bound ligands from structural library was assisted by predicted 3D structure. The elucidation of a ligand-binding site was accomplished by superimposing ligands onto predicted protein structure. Neighbor-Joining method was used to analyze the evolutionary trend. The optimal tree was depicted with the sum of branch length value (1.80027160). The clustering of associated taxa together visible as percentage of replicate trees was performed using bootstrap test (1000 replicates) and is being portrayed beside the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths (next to the branches) in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method and are in the units of the

number of amino acid substitutions per site. The analysis involved 30 amino acid sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were 66 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyzes were conducted in MEGA6 (Saitou *et al.*, 1987; Kumar and Gadagkar, 2000; Tamura *et al.*, 2013). STRING 10 was used to find out the protein-protein interactions. *Arabidopsis thaliana* was used as reference sequence to draw protein-protein interactions (Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2015).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Plant tissue culture, HPLC and protein profile analysis

3.1.1 Establishment of A. paniculata seedlings from pods in vitro

Germination of *A. paniculata* seeds was observed after three days of inoculation. These germinated seedlings were used as explants for induction of callus. The highest germination frequency (46.5 ± 5.5) was obtained on MS medium without hormone when compared to 37 ± 4.84 MS media supplemented with GA₃ (2.0 mg/l) and is depicted in Figure 2.



Figure 2:Comparative study for germination frequency of seeds in MSO and MS+GA₂.

3.1.2 Induction of callus, growth and HPLC analysis

The highest percentage of callus induction (100 ± 0) was observed from cotyledon explants and initiation within 4-5 days on MS medium containing 1.0 mg/1 2, 4-D and 0.5 mg/1 Kn. The callus induction frequency of 97±0 was observed within 5-6 days in hypocotyl explants on same callus induction medium (Figure 3, Figures 4 A, B). Callus cultures are generally used for detection of secondary metabolites *in vitro* and their further exploitation for production (Giri and Zaheer, 2016; Elenaet *et al.*, 2016). The growth study of callus cultures up to four weeks revealed that the fresh and dry weights of callus cultures gradually increased (Figure 5).

The HPLC analysis of callus revealed that the andrographolide content was increased up to four weeks. There was increase in andrographolide content with increase in callus age. The andrographolide content of leaves was up to 1.667% DW following HPLC analysis. The andrographolide content of callus cultures was less compared to *in vivo* leaves (25 fold). The light callus andrographolide content was 1.46 fold higher than dark callus (Figure 6, Figures 7 A, B). The study on andrographolide content of callus in both light and dark is reported first time in *A. paniculata*. This plant contains two different pathways, *i.e.*, MEP and MVA for the synthesis of andrographolides. The MEP pathway takes place in plastid and MVA takes place in cytosol. Light has a direct influence on plant development, morphogenic potential and synthesis of active compounds in medicinal herbs (Fazal *et al.*, 2016). Likewise light may perhaps have some influence in enhancing the andrographolide content. The molecular analysis of light callus can provide an insight into study the MEP pathway in detail.



Figure 3 : Callus induction from cotyledon and hypocotyl explants.





(B) Figure 4 : (A) Callus induced in dark and (B) Callus induced in light







Figure 6 : HPLC analysis of callus cultures (Light and Dark)





Figure 7: (A) HPLC analysis of Dark callus and (B) HPLC analysis of Light callus.

3.1.3 Protein profile analysis

The Bradford estimation determines that the total protein content in leaves of *A.paniculata* is 0.588 ± 0.22 . In callus cultures, it was observed that the total protein content in both light and dark induced callus was almost same, *i.e.*, 0.251 ± 0.152 and 0.256 ± 0.10 , respectively. The qualitative analysis by SDS-PAGE showed that leaves are having 22 bands, though the protein content is almost same in light and dark callus, the number of bands varied (Figure 8). In SDS-PAGE protein profile analysis, 13 bands were observed with callus grown in light compared to 8 bands in callus grown in dark (Figure 9). The banding pattern was almost similar in light and dark callus samples. The extra bands found in light induced callus were also coinciding with *in vivo* leaf samples which are absent in dark callus. The intensity of bands indicated abundance of proteins influenced by presence of light in both callus and *in vivo* leaves. The protein band intensity was higher in leaves followed by light callus and low in dark callus. A high intensity band of 62.3 KD protein indicating its proximity with HMGR enzyme was found in *in vivo* leaves and light callus compared to callus grown in dark. The similar studies were also reported in *Artemisia vulgaris* (Kumar *et al.*, 2009), *Glycine max* L. Merr (Radhakrishnan and Ranjitha, 2009), and *Plumbago zeylanica* L. (Rout *et al.*, 2010). Protein and enzyme analysis of stem in *Tinospora cordifolia* was also reported (Sharma and Batra, 2015). Some other medicinal plant species were too examined for their protein profile *in vivo* and *in vitro*, *viz.*, *Bacopa monnieri* (Mohapatra and Rath, 2005).



Figure 8 : Qualitative analysis was performed using SDS-PAGE DC-Dark callus; LC-Light callus; PM-Protein marker; 62.3 KD protein similar to HMGR.



Figure 9: Qualitative protein profile analysis showing presence and absence of bands with specific molecular weight in leaf, Light callus (LC) and dark callus (DC). The gaps between the bars in LC and DC indicates the absence of specific bands in SDS-PAGE profile.Lane 10 indicated with star represents 62.3 KD protein similar to HMGR

3.2 In silico study

3.2.1 ApHMGR primary sequence and analysis of biochemical properties

The collected primary structures of ApHMGR with Accession number AAL28015.2 comprise 595 amino acid residues. It indicated the gene size, which is approximately 1.7 kb (1785 base pairs). Elucidation of protein properties such as solubility and mobility are based on theoretical pI and being used to develop buffer system or salt bridge in expression studies (Dewald et al., 2015). The ApHMGR protein has theoretical pI value of 5.75 and molecular weight of 63268.59 daltons (~63 KD). The theoretical molecular weight obtained from the present study will be helpful in isoenzyme studies and their purification. Generally, hydropathy plots are used for visualization of hydrophobicity of a peptide sequence length (Fung et al., 2010). The hydrophobicity plot for ApHMGR showed the percentage of buried amino acid residues inside protein core. The highest percentage is of Val (12.9%), which is mostly hydrophobic, with least of 0.5% in both Arg and Lys, which are mostly hydrophilic (Table 1). It indicated that the ApHMGR Nterminus is highly hydrophobic with two highest hydrophobic peaks (Figure 10). Generally, scales of hydrophobicity were used to envisage the genetic code preservation. In an earlier study, the hydrophobicity profiles of the N-terminus showed two hydrophobic sequences in Arabidopsis thaliana (Uozumi et al., 1997). This was similar to our finding where we identified residues, which were long enough to cover the membrane bilayer in ApHMGR.

Table 1: Percentage of hydrophobicity along each amino acid of *Ap*HMGR protein*

S.No.	Amino acid	Percentage (%)	S.No.	Amino acid	Percentage (%)
1.	Val	12.9	11	Asp	2.90
2.	Gly	11.8	12	Pro	2.70
3.	Leu	11.7	13	Tyr	2.60
4.	Ala	11.2	14	Trp	2.20
5.	Ile	8.6	15.	His	2.00
6.	Ser	8.0	16.	Met	1.90
7.	Phe	5.1	17.	Glu	1.80
8	Thr	4.90	18.	Gln	1.60
9	Cys	4.10	19.	Arg	0.5
10	Asn	2.90	20.	Lvs	0.5

* Data presented as per Hydropathy-plot analysis using the ProtScale tool



Figure 10 : The hydrophobicity plot of *Ap*HMGR protein showing peaks for each amino acid.

3.2.2 Prediction of secondary, tertiary (3D) structure and validation

The secondary structure analysis showed that 455 residues possible for the α -helix (H); extended sheet (E) 355 residues and turns (T) 66 residues. Hence, ApHMGR consists of 76.5% helices, 59.7% extended sheets and 11.1% turns (Figure 11). a-helices and extended sheets are the most abundant structural elements of ApHMGR penetrating through most part of the secondary structure. On the other hand, beta turns and random coils were found to be distributed intermittently in trans-membrane protein. The multiple sequence alignment by simultaneous assessment of many homologous sequences, the evolutionary conservation of secondary structures can be exploited. This can be achieved by calculating the net secondary structure propensity of an aligned column of amino acids. Development of related homologous protein threedimensional structure requires homology or comparative modelling through the involvement of an atomic-resolution protein model from its primary structure. In general, structures are more conserved compared to sequences of homologous proteins. However, it has been found that, the sequence identity below 20% can have different structure and it will be functionally different (Xiang et al., 2006). Predicted 3D structures of proteins are of great importance while planning wet lab biological experiments in the absence of experimental 3D structures (Bhagavathi et al., 2014).



Figure 11 : Secondary structure of *Ap*HMGR showing 76.5% helices, 59.7% extended sheets and 11.1% turns.

The tertiary structure prediction using homology-modeling programme SWISS-MODEL revealed that, the template having maximum identity, the lowest e-value was selected and three models were created. The model having lowest energy was considered for further study and searched against the Uni-prot database. Forty templates found to match the target sequence out of 250-plant protein database. This database list then further filtered and 18 templates were finally selected. It has given three most suitable predicted 3D models with co-factors such as 2 CoA and 6 NADP⁺ binding onto it. Model-I, II has 56.97% and Model-III 55.61% sequence identity, respectively. Model-I was visualized by WebLab Viewer Lite 4 depicting 3D view (Figure 12). The ApHMGR is a homo-tetramer and the interaction between the enzyme and co-factor was non-covalent.



Figure 12 : The 3D structure of *Ap*HMGR established by homologybased modelling. The 3D structure shown as Schematic model by WebLab viewer Lite 4.

The quality of predicted 3D structure and its stereochemistry analysis by Ramchandran plot showed that its structure has good chain of 88.2% acceptable stereochemistry with least disallowed region 0.6% (Figure 13, Table 2). The Ramchandran plot for 20 different amino acids is shown in Figure 14. The result obtained was in credence with the 3D structure of *Centella asiatica* (84.9%) HMGR (Kalita *et al.*, 2015), *Withania somnifera* (80.1%) HMGR (Sanchita *et al.*, 2015), *Withania somnifera* (80.1%) HMGR (Sanchita *et al.*, 2014), *Cyanobium* sp. (Siqueira *et al.*, 2016), *Musa paradisiaca* (Hemmati, 2016). Recently, similar kind of studies is also reported in *A. paniculata* (Alexander *et al.*, 2015) and *Cryptococcus laurentii* strain RY1 (Sarkar *et al.*, 2017).



Figure 13 : Ramchandran plot analysis of *Ap*HMGR Red (core); Dark yellow (allowed); Light yellow (generously allowed); Triangles (Glycine).

Table 2 : Validation of modelled structure of *Ap*HMGR using various tools with respect to templates*

Tools	Parameter	ApHMGR
Procheck Saves	Most favoured region (A, B, I in residues)	88.2%
	Additional allowed region (a, b, i, p)	10.1%
	Generously allowed region (~a,~b, ~i, ~p)	1.1%
	Disallowed region (empty white spaces)	0.6%

* Data presented for validation as per Procheck Saves

3.2.3 BLAST analysis and multiple sequence alignment (MSA)

Blast analysis of *Ap*HMGR showed similarity with 420 plant species. Identification of conserved domain was based on plants with query cover greater than 95% (Figure 15, Table 3). It contains 402 amino acid residues. 97 tetramerization sites, 9 inhibitor binding sites, 18 substrate binding sites, 20 NADPH binding sites and 4 catalytic residues.

The MSA (CLUSTAL W2) analysis of *Ap*HMGR showed 43.03% homology with *Homo sapiens* and 42.86% homology with *Mus musculus* HMGR (Figure 16). It indicated that the *H. sapiens* HMGR was matching with *Ap*HMGR. But the *H. sapiens* HMGR is very less identical (15.3%) compared to *Oryza sativa* (*Os* HMGR) in monocot (Darabi *et al.*, 2012).



Figure 14: Ramachandran plot of A_p HMGR. The plot shows separate Ramachandran plots for each of the 20 different amino acid types. Numbers of amino acid residues are shown in brackets. Those in unfavourable conformations (Z score<-3.00) are labelled. Green shading on the background of yellow shows favourable conformations as obtained from an analysis of 163 structures at resolution 2.0A or better.



Figure 15 : BLAST analysis of *Ap*HMGR representing putative conserved domain with different binding sites for ligands, substrate, inhibitors, co-factors and amino acid sequence of residues length.

S.No	Plant Name	Family	Length	Accession no.
1	Andrographis paniculata	Acanthaceae	595	AAL28015.2
2	Gossypium raimondii	Malvaceae	585	gi763764699
3	Pyrus pyrifolia	Rosaceae	609	ACE80213.1
4	Arabidopsis lyrata	Brassicaceae	635	gi297333483
5	Brassica napus	Brassicaceae	581	gi674912770
6	Bacopa monneri	Plantaginaceae	589	gi323145669
7	Solanum tuberosum	Solanaceae	601	gi370344588
8	Nicotiana sylvestris	Solanaceae	604	gi700584204
9	Panax ginseng	Araliaceae	573	gi298370743
10	Camellia sinensis	Theaceae	590	gi345748131
11	Lavandula augustifolia	Lamiaceae	565	gi521953401
12	Curcumis sativus	Cucurbitaceae	102	gi1199472
13	Jasminum sambac	Oleaceae	594	gi724470974
14	Ricinus communis	Euphorbiaceae	586	gi255546682
15	Dioscorea zinziberensis	Dioscoreaceae	588	gi510031326
16	Artmesia annua	Asteraceae	567	gi5712672
17	Camptotheca accuminata	Cornaceae	593	gi289881
18	Glycine soja	Fabaceae	353	gi734307337
19	Glycyrrhizia uralensis	Fabaceae	573	gi306416887
20	Medicago truncatula	Fabaceae	583	gi357517535
21	Pisum sativum	Fabaceae	577	gi17224608
22	Theobroma cacao	Malvaceae	584	gi508707045
23	Salvia miltiorrhiza	Lamiaceae	565	gi187884600
24	Litchi chinensis	Sapindaceae	568	gi357240553
25	Morus notabilis	Moraceae	586	gi587850260
26	Cyanotis arachinoidea	Commelinaceae	589	gi641457656
27	Oryza sativa indica grp	Poaceae	576	gi1171364
28	Triticum aestivum	Poaceae	150	gi545473
29	Homo sapiens	Hominidae	888	gi110644584
3				

Table 3 : Blast analysis showing percentage query cover > 95% for ApHMGR*

* Data presented depicts >95% similarity with ApHMGR

3.2.4 Motifs and domain analysis

A mathematical model is helpful in deriving motif sequences in biology and is similar to a defined group of organisms. In our study, three conserved motifs were found through MEME tool and are depicted in Figure 17, Figure 18 and Table 4. Domain prediction is helpful to find out the evolutionary relationship. Further, domain alignments convey potential information in simpler manner (Fong *et al.*, 2008). The domain structure analysis of A_P HMGR using TMHMM server showed two transmembrane domains at 36 (lys)-58 (lys) and 78 (Ile)-100 (val) positions (Figure 19). Similar result was also found with *Os*HMGR (Darabi *et al.*, 2012). PHYRE2 analysis revealed that A_P HMGR contains three transmembrane regions, namely S1 (39-57), S2 (95-70) and S3 (553-568). The N-terminal is present towards extracellular side and the C-terminal is present towards cytoplasmic side and was connected through linker sequences (Figure 20). The C-terminal portion of the protein, which included the catalytic domain, was highly conserved (74 to 98%) across all plant species as well as animal and yeast HMGRs with 65% identity. The linker region is highly divergent in both size and sequence among all HMGRs in plants and animals. The most striking difference is in the size of the N-terminal domain in plants, which contains the putative membrane-spanning region. This is highly conserved among plant species, which may be a reflection of functional attributes (Saur *et al.*, 2015).

SeqA	Name	Length	SeqB	Name	Length	Score
1	gi 25990288 gb AAL28015.2 AF389879_1	595	2	gi 160358778 ref NP_032281.2	887	42.86
SeqA	Name	Length	SeqB	Name	Length	Score
1	qi 25990288 qb AAL28015.2 AF389879 1	595	2	gi 10644584 gb AAG21343.1	888	43.03

Figure 16: Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of amino acid sequences in ApHMGR, HsHMGR and MmHMGR using CLUSTAL W2 programme.



Figure 17 : Common motifs among the different plants and other species.

Motif-1

|--|--|

22. p. 508 70 WE pt ED06841.1	375	18645 QAVIDELQUD F IMOVISIS N CSUKK ANNALE B KSWCE ALIMBULARK
18. g(734307387(gt)(k4494121.1)	147	100445 QRVLDFLLND P. LMOVIDISCH, CSDKK, AAVAWIE: R. KSWCE ALIKEEVVQK
17. g(209881)(p)(44433040.1)	388	1.0045 QAVIDEIQID FILMATIFISIALICSUKK AAVANTEIR KSWCE AVIKEEVAKK
11. d(511953401);td(46Q04159.1)	363	188-45 QAVLIFIKAR F. LAUVIGIS IN CELKK AAVANIE REKSWCE ALIYOOTVIK
3. g(190610724(gb)ACE80213.1)	40	1.08445 QAVLUELQUD P. LMUNTGIS IN CSCHK, AANMATE REKSWICE AVTREDVIOK
14. g(255546682/v#)/P_002514400.1)	379	3.6645 QAVLIFIQHE F. LICHTOIS INFOSCER, ANNALS R. KSWCE ATTREMAKK
12. g(1199472(d)(84409705.1)	61	3.66-45 QAVLDFLQED F LIMINT GES INFOSORY, ANNINER RESERVICE AVERAGED
5. g1674912770(end(D)(2)446.1	詳	3.06-45 QWVLETLTED FILMOVICIES NECEDIX: AAVMVIE BEKSWCE AVERGELVIK
4. g(297333483)gb(876639011)	45	346445 QAVLEYLYDD P IMOVIGIS NPCSDAX AAMMUE BERSAWCE AVIKGELIVAK
1, g)763764699 (p)(CB31953.1)	380	3.6645 givildelgid F INDVIGISCRESSING ANNUER RESIDER ALLKEDWER
6. g 323145669 \$0 40191411	383	334645 QUADELINE F INCLUSION ANNALS RESERVE ALLED WAS
8. g(700594204);#(VP_001289522.1)	399	14945 (INTOTAGE) INDIA IS APOSINE ANNALS BUSINESSING
7. g) 370344568 gb (48/20983.1)	394	14945 (INTOTASE) INVITATION CONK ANNUE R KEWCE ALLKEEVING
13.g)724470974(p)427260741	39	122+44 QIVIDVIGIO P INOVI-IS NPCSON, ENVINE P KSWCE ALEKEEVYTA
27.g(546473)gb(44829980.1)	24	2.90+44 (INVIDUIDED F. INIVISIS INFESORE ANIMULE RESSAUCE AVEREELERS
25. g) 557 551 261 gb (1984) 446. 1 (30	240+44 GIVILDELGID F. IMPLATS AFCSDIX ANNATE R KSWCE ATTREDUKS
24. gl 357740553 (gb) 46772043.1)	363	434-44 GRADELGER F. INIVISIS AFCSDRKSAANNER RIKSWICE ALLKEDWICH
23. g(187894601 p(40397661.1)	360	45444 QIVIDELQID P. DOVISISHI CSOK, SAVAND R KSWCE AVITADIVISI
20. p[35751755];e];#_006290561	33	13444 QNULDELOSD F. INDVICES INCOMMENDER RESERVE AVIABLEVIKA
9. g/296370743(p)4080345.1	33	635644 QNVLEFLQSD P INDIVISION CONK ARVINTER RESIDER ALTIDOVISIO
10. p[345748131];b]#6021481]	3%	413449 QNVLDPLAND YSCHOVICIS BUPCSONX: ANNALESE ASSWCE VIIKERVIVEK
26. p[1171364[p[44008620.1]	371	745449 QWILDYLQOD P INDVISIS NPCSINKSANWATE R ISTVCE AVIXEEVIKK
19. p[306416687]pb[40487300.1]	368	R55449 QWYLDFLQKD P. IMEWICIS APCSINKAAANWNIE R RSWCE AIIKEEWNK
21. p1072246881p1(44.37041.0)47303583_1	372	25441 QNVLDFLQID FFINEVICIS INFOSINKAAANNITER RISTWCE AVIXEEVIQU
1. p(25950385(p))44,280:52(4599879_1	37	331441 QIVIDPLARE PRIMERYLCES IN CRIMINAL REPORTED ATTREEVING
15. p[510131326]pb1430244911	X.	138+39 QNVLEYLARD P. DM VISIS IN CONKALMINIE R REWOL AT INSERVER.
16. p[5712672[p](44047596.1]	31	4.63+38 QAVLAFLAND Frindvigiskerssker tenneterkerswer avitkonner
23. p[14860593];b[E100841]	674	151637 EKALLKIGER F. INGILAVSIN (CINK, AADMED B. KTWCE AVIPERVIRE
18. p[1664584]p[14521343.1]	675	3.53+37 EKALSKIHEY P ENQUENNSIN (CTURE AADMED & KSWCE AVIPARWRE

Motif-2

	I	.1	wiii.	H	-		.	. 11 .	
		Ŵ				U.		MM	
	¥.		V	Å.	1 <mark>-</mark> 1				
S STRUGS I	10	Į, Ļį	\$225\$	ųŖ,	• 5 5 5	7 24			
19. p(305416687)(b)(40407300.1)	440	7.56+53	LIGSAVAGAL	SERVE	ASNIVSAI	TATAO	L QWESS	CITACAVA	KDLEVSVIM
20. g(157517535);#(XP_003629056.1)	45]	1934-52	LIGSALAGAL	SERVE	ASNIVSA	MINEQO	LOWESS	CITACAW	<mark>ng</mark> Koleisvino
11. gi521953401 (b)45Q14159.1	435	4/8+52	LTGSAVAGAL	SERV	ASNEVSKI	TATIQU	MUNIESS	CITACAW	<mark>ig</mark> KDLEVSVIM
8. g(700584204);#(VP_001209522.1)	(7)	4.08-52	LIGSAMAGAL	SGENA	ASMINSA	niniqu	QUIESS	CITACAW	KOLEVSVIM
14. gi(255546682)/#(VP_002514400.1)	451	478-52	LIGSAMAGAL	SERVE	ASNIVA	niniço	MONTESS	CITALAW	ÇOLEVSVIM
18. g(794307307)(b(kHM99121.1)	219	115-52	LAGSAVAGAL	SERV	ASNIVSAI	FINTO	AQUVESS	CITACAW	<mark>ng</mark> rolhisvin
22. g 508707045 (b E0/98941.1)	451	112651	LIGSAMAGAL	SERV	ASNIVER	nini Qi	AQUVESS	CITMEAIN	KOLEVSVIM
25. g 567050060 (b 640446.1)	452	236+51	LIGSAVAGAL	SERV	ASVIVSA	MINIQU	LOW SS	CITME W	C KDLHISVIM
7. g (370344568) (b) 4EX26933.1	466	3.64-51	LIGSAMAGAL	SEENA	ASNIVSA	MARQ	AQUVESS.	CITACAVA	G KOLEVSVIM
3. gi[190610724 gb ACE80213.1	475	411651	LICEANAGL	SERV	ASVIVSAI	TATSO	AQUIVESS	CITME IN	G QOLEVSVIM
24. gi[257240553]gb[4ET72043.1]	435	672451	LIGSWAGAL	SERV	ASNIVEAU	TARIO	AQUIVESS	CIENCAW	G XDLEVSVTM
17. g(289881(g)(44433040.1)	460	R16+51	LIGSAMAGAL	SERVE	ASVIVSAV	LATSO	AQUIVESS	CITAMAIN	G KOLEVSVING
11. g (17224608)(g) (44,37041,1)(4F303588_1	444	1.184-50	LIGSAIAGAL	SERVE	ASMINSAL	FIANO	AQUIVESS	CITACIAN	G KOLEISVING
2. gi[763764699];gb(X0830953.1)	452	1.758-50	LIGSAMAGAL	SERVE	ASNIVTAL	TATSO	AQUIVESS	CITALAN	S KOLEISVIME
23. p[187884600];b[40097361.1]	42	229650	LIGSAINGAL	SERVE	AANIVSAI	FIANO	AQUILISS	CITWIAIN	G KOLEISVIME
10. p[345748131 p 4E012148.1	448	2,658-50	LIGSAMAGAL	SIN.	ASNUVSAL	TATIQ	AQUILESS	CIENCAVN	G KOLEVSVIME
1. gi(25990288)gb)/44,28015.2/4F389879_1	459	2,65+50	LIGSAVAGAL	SIN	ASTEVSAY	TATSO	AQUILESS	CIEMPAN	G KOLEISVIM
6. g]323045569[@/4D/G0904.1]	455	4126-50	LIGSAMAGAL	GRU	ASSIVSAV	TATIQ	AQUIVESS	CITWEAVN	G KDLEISVIM
5. gi(674912770)emb(CDX20406.1)	46	4,644-50	LTGSAVAGSL	GERNA	ASVIVSAV	FIAT OD	LOWESS	CITACAIN	G KOIEVSVING
4. gi(297333483)(b)EFH69901.1)	498	4,649-50	LAGSAVAGEL	GERNA	ASTIVSAV	FIATEOD	LOWESS	CITAMAIN	G KOIHISVING
13. g)724470974(jb)4926014.1)	461	1.16:40	LTGSAIAGAL	- 21	ASTIVSAV	TAT QU	LOUISS	CITACAN	C KOLEISVERG
9. gi(298370743)gb(40080846.1)	44)	6.06 e-0	LAGSAVAGSL	SERVA	ANTVSAV	FIATEQU	AQUIESS	CITACAIN	G KOLHISVING
26. gi[1171364]gb 44008820.1	43	5,152-48	LAGSAVAGAL	GERNA.	ASSIVIAL	FIAT-QU	LOWESS	CIMEAN	G KOLHISVING
27. p[545473]gb(44829931.1]	95	2.36:45	LAGSAVAGAL	GENA	ASSIVIAL	FIAT-QU	LOWESS	CINCAN	C KOLHISVING
15. gi/510/81326/gb/4/9/82409.1	433	3,640-39	INGSAVAGSL	SERVA	ASVIVSAI	FIATIQU	LQW235	CLILEROO	O LYVSVSMPCI
16. g(5712672(g)(44047596.1)	432	5.12e-39	FLIVILLINI	WW	ML IVSAV	FIAT-QU	MILESS	CITACAWA	C KOLEVIVING
29. pi148668565(d)EDL00804.1	145	2.152-38	INGSAMAGSI	SG NA	ANTVIAL	IACHOD.	NOW SSI	CITIMAS	T NEDLYISCH
28. g 00644584 gb 4452134811	747	21543	INGSAUGSI	S N	AMIVIAI	LIC-QL	lowess	CINANS	T NEDLYISCEM

Motif-3

ŝ		0ZQ	KCA I	KINE VERESCITCOALCININSKOVONVLDE
10.	gi[345748131[gb]AE012148.1]	323	2.38e-62	PHNFETLSLH FNRSSRFGRLQNIKCAIAGKNLYMRFSCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDFL KNDYSDMDVI
7.	gi[370344588[gb]AEX26933.1]	341	1.70e-61	PINFETISIM FNKSSRFARLQGIQCAIAGKNL*MRFSCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDYL QSEYPDMDVI
2	gi[5/126/2]gb[AAD4/596.1] gi[763764699]gb[K]B31953.1]	307	2.430-01	GVNFDTLSVV FNKSSKFAKLQNIQCSIAGKNL:IKFICSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDFL QUDFFDMUVI
23.	gi 187884600[gb]ACD37361.1]	307	3.23e-61	PLNFDTLSVV FNKSSRFARLONVQCAIAGKNLYIRFSCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDFL ONDFPDMDVI
14.	gi[255546682[ref[XP_002514400.1]	326	4.58e-61	PSNFDVISSA FNKSSRFGRLQNIKCAIAGKNLYLRFCCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDFL QNHFPDMDVI
22.	gi[508707045]gb[E0X98941.1]	326	1.20e-60	PDNSETLAVV FNRSSRFARLQGIKCAIAGKNLYLRFSCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDFL QTDFPDMDVI
13.	gi[724470974]gb[AIY26014.1]	336	2.20e-60	PANYETLCVV FNKSSRFARLQGIQCAIAGKNLYIRFNCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDYL GNDFPDMDVI
19.	gi 306416887 gb ADM87300.1	315	3.47e-59	PLNFDSLSVV FNKSSRFARLQSIQPAIAGKNLYIRFRCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDFL QNDFPDMEVI
11.	gi 521953401 gb AGQ04159.1	310	5.84e-59	PLNFETISLV FNSSSRFAKLQNIKCAIAGKNLYIRFSCSTGDAMGMNMISKGVQNVLDFL NAEFPDMDVI
12.	gi 1199472 dbj BAA09705.1	7	8.61e-59	KLLVLV FTKSSRFARLQNIKCAIAGKNLYTRFSCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDFL QEDFPDMDVI
з.	gi 190610724 gb ACE80213.1	350	1.11e-58	PNNYDTLSAV FNRSSRFGRLQTIKCAIAGKNLYMRFTCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGAQNVLDFL QNDFPDMDVI
8.	gi 700584204 ref NP_001289522.1	346	1.63e-58	PVKFETLAAV FNQSSRFARLQRIQCAIAGKNLYMRFVCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDYL QNEYPDMDVI
25.	gi 587850260 gb EXB40446.1	327	1.85e-58	TANFETLAMV FNRSSRFARLQSIKCAIAGKNLYTRFCCGTGDAMGMNMISKGVQNVLDFL QNDFPDMDVL
6.	gi 323145669 gb ADX31914.1	330	1.85e-58	PLNFESLSLI FNSSSRFGRLQSIKCAVAGKNLYMRFTCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVMDFL NKEFPDMDII
18.	gi[734307337]gb[KHM99121.1]	94	3.92e-58	PLNFDTLSLV FNRSSRFARLQGIQCAMAGKNAYLRFTCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDFL LNDFPDMDVI
1.	gi 25990288 gb AAL28015.2 AF389879_1	334	4.43e-58	PLNFETLSMV FNSSSRFGRLQSIKCAVAGKNLYIRFSCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVIDFL GNEFSDMEVI
26.	gi 1171364 gb AAD08820.1	318	3.03e-57	PANFDTLAMV FNRSSRFARLQRVKCAVAGRNLYMRFSCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDYL QDDFPDMDVI
20.	gi[357517535[ref[XP_003629056.1]	325	3.03e-57	PLNFDTLAVT FNKSSRFARLQSLQPTIAGKNLYIRFRCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLDFL QSDFPDMDVI
17.	gi 289881 gb AAA33040.1	335	3.83e-57	PLNFETLAAV FNSSSRFGKLQNIKCAIAGKNLYMRYSCSTGDAMGMNMISKGVQNVLDFL QDDFPDMDVI
24.	gi 357240553 gb AET72043.1	310	6.10e-57	PANFETLSVV FNKSSRFGRLQNIKCAIAGKNLYIGFCCSSGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVMDFL QGEFPDMDVI
4.	gi 297333483 gb EFH63901.1	373	5.20e-56	PENFDTLTVV FNRSSRFARLQSVKCTIAGKNAYVRFCCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLEYL TDDFPDMDVI
5.	gi 674912770 emb CDY20406.1	321	1.12e-53	PENFDTLAVV FNRSIRFARLQSVKCTLAGKNAYVRFSCSTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLEFL TDDFPDMDVI
15.	gi 510031326 gb AGN32409.1	308	5.02e-53	PANFDTLATV FNRSSRFGRLQGIHCSLAGRNVYLRFSCRTGDAMGMNMVSKGVQNVLEYL WGDFPDMHVI
9.	gi[298370743 gb ADI80346.1	315	2.25e-49	PFNFDTLAVV SKKSSRFARLQSIQCSMAGKNLYIRLCCSTVDVMGMNMVSKGVQNVLEFL QSDFPDMDVT
29.	gi 148668565 gb EDL00884.1	621	1.38e-41	PEGFAVIKEA FDSTSRFARLQKLHVTMAGRNLYIRFQSRTGDAMGMNMISKGTEKALLKL QEFFPDMQIL

Figure 18: All motifs and their sequences for HMGR proteins in selected plants. The MEME motifs are shown as different-coloured boxes. (colour figure online generated) and amino acid residues of motif-1, 2 and 3.

Table 4: Motifs sequence showing width, e-value and similarity for HMGR*

Motif	Width	Sequence	Similarity			
				1	2	3
1	35	F(Y)P(SF)D(E)MD(EQH)V(I)I(LT)G(SA)I(VL) SG(W)N(K)Y (F)CS (T)DKKP(SA)A(TS)AV(I) NWIEGRGKS(T)VVC(F)E(QG)	1.1e-896	-	0.13	0.12
2	41	G(L)G(V)F(YD)N(L)A(M)HA(M)S(AL)N(SH)I(L)VS(T)AI(V)Y (F)I(L)AT(C)GQDP(A)AQNV(I)E (G)SSH(QN)CI(L)T(A)M(L) M(LF)EA(PR)V(ISD)N(G)D(PQNKGE)G(TD)	4.6e-958	0.13	-	0.13
3	50	F(S)N(DTK)K(RSQ)S(T)S(I)RFA(G)R(K)LQ(SGRKTN)I(VL) Q(KH) C(PVT)A(TS)I(VML)AGK(R)NL(AV)YI(MLVT)R(G) F(YL)C(STRQVN) C(S)S(RG)T(S)G(V)DA(V)MGMNMV(I)SK GV(TA)Q(E)N(K)V(A) L(MI)D(ESL)F(YK)L	1.1e-117	0.12	0.13	-

*Data presented as per MEME; version 4.10.2



Figure 19 : Graphical representation of transmembrane domain prediction in *Ap*HMGR using TMHMM server.



Figure 20 : Structural representation of transmembrane helices in *Ap*HMGR using PHYRE2 online tool.

3.2.5 Analysis of ligand binding site, phylogenetic and proteinprotein interaction studies

Ligand a small signal-triggering molecule binds to proteins by feeble interactions. Drug design, drug side effects and elucidation of protein function is facilitated by protein-ligand binding site prediction studies (Krivák *et al.*, 2015). The ligand binding site of ApHMGR contains amino acids Tyr (193), Glu (240) and Met (241) at the active site (Figure 21, Table 5). Two molecules of CoA and six molecules of ADP were found as ligands for ApHMGR. These bind to enzyme non-covalently and provide energy to process the enzymatic reaction. The phylogenetic analysis usually compares the sequences of proteins and finds out identities among different organisms. Phylogenetic tree was constructed by amino acid

sequences of ApHMGR involving 28-plant species with higher similarity (query cover > 95%). Further, other organisms like H. sapiens and Mus musculus was also analyzed to find out the evolutionary relationship among different organisms. The result showed that HMGRs are derived from common ancestor gene of plants and animals. However, plants are categorized into monocots and dicots. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that both are closely related evolutionarily with bootstrap 21. In animals, it is again diverged with bootstrap 99. ApHMGR was closely related to Bacopa monneri HMGR with bootstrap 33 (Figure 22). Further, in our study the monocot group included O. sativa, Triticum aestivum, C arachinoidea and D. zinziberensis. In this group, dicot plant C. accuminata also present with bootstrap 21 indicated close relationship with monocots. The similar studies were carried out in the starch-binding domain family CBM41 (Janecek et al., 2017). The biosynthesis of isoprenoids via MVA and MEP pathways is well studied in plants compared to other organisms. However, the regulation of ApHMGR a key enzyme in MVA pathway still needs attention. Recently, the revised data of Ap chloroplast genome (150,249 bp) provided information regarding MEP pathway genes in chloroplast and is a positive endeavour in this direction (Ding et al., 2015). Likewise the complete chloroplast genome was annotated in Scrophularia dentate (Mehrotra et al., 2016)



Figure 21: Ligand (green on the background of yellow) binding to *Ap*HMGR showing active sites with amino acids TYR, MET and GLU at 193, 241 and 240 positions.

Table 5 : Amino acids present at the active site of A_P HMGR protein for ligand binding*

S.No.	Amino acid	Contact sites	Position	Avg. distance
1	TYR	4	193	0.29
2	GLU	8	240	0.53
3	MET	8	241	0.11

* Data presented as per 3D ligand site prediction tool



Figure 22 : The phylogenetic tree of *Ap*HMGR along with other HMGRs from selected plants and animals using the CLUSTAL-W (MEGA 6) program and Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method.

Protein-protein interactions study revealed that along with HMGR, and nine other proteins a close interaction was found which are involved in MVA and MEP pathways (Figure 23). Not only MVA and MEP proteins, other proteins involved in secondary metabolite pathway, cell cycle and signalling were studied. Co-expression analysis of 10 proteins with reference to *A. thaliana* and other organisms showed highest score for HMGS 0.999 and the least at 0.771. Remaining proteins have shown scores in between 0.998 to 0.834. The intensity of the spot indicated the expression levels shown on scale in Figure 24. These proteins carry out important functions like phosphorylation, condensation and decarboxylation, *etc.*



Figure 23 : Protein-protein interactions using STRING 10. A. thaliana used as reference sequence. Coloured nodes indicate direct interaction with the input.



Figure 24 : Co-expression analysis of different proteins with reference plant and other microorganisms HMGShydroxy methyl glutaryl Co-A synthase;MK-mevalonate kinase; MVD-mevalonate diphosphate decarboxylase; FPS1-farnesyl diphosphate synthase; IPP-isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase; HMGR-3hydroxy3methylglutaryl Co-A reductase; FPS2- farnesyl diphosphate synthase; ABC-aberrant chloroplast development; DXR-1deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase; HRD-1ubiquitin ligase.

4. Conclusion

The light grown callus promoted increased growth and secondary metabolite content in *A. paniculata*. More number of proteins was expressed in light grown callus with increased number and higher intensity of specific protein bands compared to dark grown callus. The *in vitro* studies on callus growth in both light/dark, HPLC and protein profile analysis of *in vivo* leaves and callus may be useful

42

to understand the possible role of light (MEP pathway in chloroplast) on andrographolide synthesis in *A. paniculata*. This study may help to find the genes and proteins responsible for the production of bioactive compounds such as andrographolide.

ApHMGR structural analysis in the present study will be useful in functional prediction, regulation and location of specific proteins across organisms. Functional aspects of ApHMGR can find out the ligand binding sites and active site information, for their use in docking studies. The phylogenetic analysis revealed the gene structure and protein architecture of all plant HMGRs were considerably conserved. This is the first report describing the structural, physicochemical and functional information of ApHMGR, which will provide a good foundation and can be exploited for primer designing and isolation of genes from this important medicinal plant. The protein-protein interactions will be helpful to understand cell-cell interactions for the elucidation of biological mechanisms at the molecular level and drug-target relationships. These findings may also lead to the possibilities of metabolic engineering of isoprenoids, for increased synthesis of diterpene lactone andrographolide there by overcoming metabolic bottlenecks.

Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank OU-UGC-CPEPA programme sponsored by University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi for financial support. Ms. B. B. V. Bindu, Mr. M. Srinath and Ms. A. Shailaja thank UGC, New Delhi for Research Fellowships.

Conflict of interest

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

- Abd El-Aal, S.M.; Rabie, K.A.E. and Manaf, H.H. (2016). The effect of UV-C on secondary metabolites production of *Echinacea purpurea* culture *in vitro*. J. Biol. Chem. Environ. Sci., 2:465-483.
- Alexander, R.A.; Sankari, S.; Ramaraj, K. and Rajaram, S.K. (2016). In silico analysis and molecular interactions studies of selected phytoconstituents from Andrographis paniculata as potential inhibitors of monoamine oxidase b. Asian J. Pharm. Clinical Res., 2:239-242.
- Arolla, R.G.; Cherukupalli, N.; Rao, K.V. and Reddy, V.D. (2015). DNA barcoding and haplotyping in different species of *Andrographis*. Biochem. Syst. Ecol., 62:91-97.
- Bailey, T.L.; Williams, N.; Misleh, C. and Li, W.W. (2006). MEME: Discovering and analyzing DNA and protein sequence motifs. Nucleic Acids Res., 34:369-373. MEME; version 4.10.2) at website (http://meme. nbcr.net/meme/meme.html)
- **Bhagavathi, S.; Prakash, A. and Gulshan, W. (2014).** An insight to virtual ligand screening methods for structure-based drug design and methods to predict protein structure and function in lung cancer: approaches and progress. J. Crit. Rev., 1:10-24.
- Bradford, M.M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of proteindye binding. Anal. Biochem., 72: 248-254.
- Chou, P.Y. and Fasman, G.D. (1974). Prediction of protein information. Biochem., 13:222-245. CFSSP prediction server (http://cib.cf.ocha.ac.jp/bitool/MIX/)
- Darabi, M.; Izadi-Darbandi, A.; Masoudi N.A. and Nemat, Z.G. (2012). Bioinformatics study of the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglotarylcoenzyme A reductase (HMGR) gene in Gramineae. Mol. Biol. Rep., 39:8925-35.

- Daryush, T.; Alireza, V.; Mohd Y.R. and Mahmood, M. (2014). Proteomic analysis of the salt-responsive leaf and root proteins in the anticancer plant, *Andrographis paniculata* nees. PLoS One., 9:1-10.
- Dewald, I.; Isakin, O.; Schubert, J.; Kraus, T. and Chanana, M. (2015). Protein identity and environmental parameters determine the final physicochemical properties of protein-coated metal nanoparticles. J. Phys. ChemC., 119:25482-25492.
- Dias, M.I.; Sousa, M.J.; Alves, R.C. and Ferreira, I.C. (2016). Exploring plant tissue culture to improve the production of phenolic compounds: A review. Indust. Crops Prod., 82:9-22.
- Ding, P.; Shao, Y.; Li, Q.; Gao, J. Zhang, R.; Lai, X. and Zhang, H. (2015). The complete chloroplast genome sequence of the medicinal plant *Andrographis paniculata*. Mitochond. DNA, 4:1-2.
- **Dor, O.; Zhou, Y. and Zhou. (2006).** Achieving 80% tenfold cross-validated accuracy for secondary structure prediction by large-scale training. Proteins, **66**:838-845.
- Elena, A.; Günter and Oxana V.P. (2016). Calcium pectinate gel beads obtained from callus cultures pectins as promising systems for colon-targeted drug delivery. Carbohydr. Polym., 147:490-499.
- Fazal, H.; Abbasi, B.H.; Ahmad, N.; Ali, M. and Ali, S. (2016). Sucrose induced osmotic stress and photoperiod regimes enhanced the biomass and production of antioxidant secondary metabolites in shake-flask suspension cultures of *Prunella vulgaris* L. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult., 3:573-581.
- Fong, J.H. and Marchler, B.A. (2008). Protein subfamily assignment using the Conserved Domain Database. BMC. Res. Notes, 1:114-120.
- Fung, P.K.; Krushkal, J and Weathers, P.J. (2010). Computational analysis of the evolution of 1 deoxy d xylulose 5 phosphate reductoisomerase, an important enzyme in plant terpene biosynthesis. Chem. Biodivers., 7:1098-1110.
- Giri, C.C. and Zaheer, M. (2016). Chemical elicitors versus secondary metabolite production *in vitro* using plant cell, tissue and organ cultures: Recent trends and a sky eye view appraisal. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult., 126:1-18.
- Guo, D.; Yi, H.Y.; Li, H.L.; Liu, C.; Yang, Z.P. and Peng, S.Q. (2015). Molecular characterization of HbCZF1, a *Hevea brasiliensis* CCCH-type zinc finger protein that regulates hmg1. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult., 34:1569-1578.
- Hemmati, S. (2016). Predicting the functionality of major intrinsic proteins: An *in silico* analysis in *Musa*. Trends Phram. Sci., 2:139-150.
- Hoagland, D.R. and Arnon, D.I. (1938) The water culture method for growing plants without soil. California Agri. Exp. Station Circul., 347: 32
- Janecek, S.; Majzlová, K.; Svensson, B. and MacGregor, E.A. (2017). The starchbinding domain family CBM41-an *in silico* analysis of evolutionary relationships Proteins (Online First).
- Jha, Z.; Sharam, S.N. and Sharma, D.K. (2011). Differential expression of 3hydroxy- 3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase of Andrographis paniculata in andrographolide accumulation. J. Chem. Pharm. Res., 3:499-504.
- Kalita, R.; Patar, L.; Shasany, A.K.; Modi, M.K. and Sen, P. (2015). Molecular cloning, characterization and expression analysis of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase gene from *Centella asiatica* L. Mol. Biol. Rep., 42:1431-1439.
- Kelley, L.A.; Mezulis, S.; Yates, C.M.; Wass, M.N. and Sternberg, M.J. (2015). The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat. Protoc., 10:845-58. Phyre2 An online tool (http:// www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index
- Kiefer, F.; Arnold, K.; Künzli, M.; Bordoli, L. and Schwede, T. (2009). The SWISS-MODEL repository and associated resources. Nucleic Acids Res., 37:387-392. SWISS-MODEL server (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/ interactive/BYrhz7/models/)

- Krivák, R. and Hoksza, D. (2015). Improving protein-ligand binding site prediction accuracy by classification of inner pocket points using local features. J. Cheminformatics, 1:1-25.
- Krogh, A.; Larsson, B; Von Heijne, G. and Sonnhammer, E.L. (2001). Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: Application to complete genomes. J. Mol. Biol., 3:567-580.
- Kumar, P.S. and Kumari R.B.D. (2009). In vitro and in vivo identification of variation in protein expression in Artemisia vulgaris L. Adv. Biol. Res., 5-6:63-70.
- Kumar, S. and Gadagkar, S.R. (2000). Efficiency of the neighbor-joining method in reconstructing deep and shallow evolutionary relationships in large phylogenies. J. Mol. Evol., 51:544-553.
- Kyte, J. and Doolittle, R.F. (1982). A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. J. Mol. Biol., 1:105-132. Expasy tools website (http://web.expasy.org/cgi-bin/compute_pi/ pi_tool), (http://web.expasy.org/protscale/).
- Laemmli, U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature, 227:680-685.
- Laskowski, R.A.; Rullmannn, J.A.; MacArthur, M.W.; Kaptein, R. and Thornton, J.M. (1996). AQUA and PROCHECK-NMR: programs for checking the quality of protein structures solved by NMR. J. Biomol. NMR, 4:477-486. PROCHECK (http://services.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/)
- Latha, B.C.; Ahalya, S.; Naidu, P.D.; Mounica, K. and Kumar, A.R. (2017). Phytochemical evaluation of Andrographis paniculata, Cassia angustifolia and Eclipta alba. Ind. J. Res. Pharm. Biotechnol., 5:160-163
- Lipko, A. and Swiezewska, E. (2016). Isoprenoid generating systems in plantsa handy toolbox how to assess contribution of the mevalonate and methylerythritol phosphate pathways to the biosynthetic process. Prog. Lipid Res., 63:70-92
- Mehrotra, P.; Ramakrishnan, G; Dhandapani, G; Srinivasan, N. and Madanan, M.G. (2016). The Complete Chloroplast Genome of Ye-Xing-Ba (*Scrophularia dentata*; Scrophulariaceae), an Alpine Tibetan Herb Mol. Biosyst., 13:883-891
- Mohapatra, H.P. and Rath, S.P. (2005) *In vitro* studies of *Bacopa monnieri*an important medicinal plant with reference to its biochemical variations. Ind. J. Exp. Biol., 4:373-376.
- Murashige, T. and Skoog, F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol. Plant., 15:473-497.
- Neeraja, C.; Krishna, P.H.; Reddy, C.S.; Giri, C.C.; Rao, K.V. and Reddy, V.D. (2015). Distribution of *Andrographis* species in different districts of Andhra Pradesh. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. B. Biol. Sci., 85:601-606.
- Neeraja, C.; Mayur, D.; Mittapelli, S.R.; Rao, K.V. and Reddy, V.D. (2016). De novo assembly of leaf transcriptome in the medicinal plant *Andrographis paniculata*. Front. Plant Sci., 7:1-13.
- Niranjan, A.; Tewari, S.K. and Lehri, A. (2010). Biological activities of Kalmegh (Andrographis paniculata Nees) and its active principles: A review. Ind. J. Natl. Prod. Resources, 2:125-135.
- **Uozumi, N.; Nakamura, T.; Schroeder, J.I. and Muto, S. (1997).** Determination of transmembrane topology of an inward-rectifying potassium channel from *Arabidopsis thaliana* based on functional expression in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., **95**:9773-9778.
- Parlapally, S.; Cherukupalli, N.; Bhumireddy, S.R.; Sripadi, P.; Anisetti, R.; Giri, C.C. and Reddy, V.D. (2015). Chemical profiling and anti-psoriatic activity of methanolic extract of *Andrographis nallamalayana* J.L. Ellies. Nat. Prod. Res., 30:1256-1261.
- Prasada, R.K.; Sharmaa, R. and Prajapati, GL. (2010). Homology modeling and evaluation of human TEK tyrosine kinase using SWISS-MODEL workspace. J. Chem. Pharm. Res., 2:440-451.
- Proctor, E.A. and Dokholyan, N.V. (2016). Applications of discrete molecular dynamics in biology and medicine. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 37:9-13.

- Radhakrishnan, R. and Ranjitha, K.B.D. (2009). Changes in protein content in micropropagated and conventional soybean plants (*Glycine* max (L.) Merr.). World J. Agric. Sci., 2:186-189.
- Rout, J.R.; Kanungo, S.; Das, R. and Sahoo, S.L. (2010). In vivo protein profiling and catalase activity of *Plumbago zeylanica* L. Nat. Sci., 1:87-90.
- Saitou, N. and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol., 4:406-425.
- Sanchita, S.S. and Sharma, A. (2014). Bioinformatics approaches for structural and functional analysis of proteins in secondary metabolism in Withania somnifera. Mol. Biol. Rep., 41:7323-7330.
- Sareer O, Ahmad S, Umar S (2014). Andrographis paniculata: A critical appraisal of extraction, isolation and quantification of andrographolide and other active constituents. Nat. Prod. Res., 23:2081-2101.
- Sarkar, S.; Gupta, S.; Chakraborty, W.; Senapati, S. and Gachhui, R. (2017). Homology modeling, molecular docking and molecular dynamics studies of the catalytic domain of chitin deacetylase from *Cryptococcus laurentii* strain RY1. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. (Online First).
- Saur, I.M.L.; Conlan, B.F and Rathjen, J.P. (2015). The N-Terminal domain of the tomato immune protein Prf contains multiple homotypic and Pto kinase interaction sites. J. Biol. Chem., 290:11258-11267.
- Sharma, A. and Batra, A. (2015). In vivo and in vitro protein profiling in Tinospora cordifolia-an antidiabetic plant species. Anal. Int. Daily J. Species, 51:88-96.
- Singh, S. and Srivastava, A.K. (2016). In silico and wet lab study revealed cadmium is the potent inhibitor of HupL in Anabaena sp. PC C 7120. Arch. Microbiol., 198:27-34.
- Siqueira, A.S.; Lima, A.R.J.; DallAgnol, L.T.; de Azevedo, J.S.N.; Vianezm J.L.D.S.G. and Gonçalves, E.C. (2016). Comparative modeling and molecular dynamics suggest high carboxylase activity of the *Cyanobium* sp. CACIAM14 RbcL protein. J. Mol. Model., 3:1-8.
- Srivastava, N. and Akhila, A. (2010). Biosynthesis of andrographolide in *Andrographis paniculata*. Phytochem., 71:1298-1304.
- Subramanian, R.; Asmawi, M.Z. and Sadikun, A. (2012). A bitter plant with a sweet future? A comprehensive review of an oriental medicinal plant: Andrographis paniculata. Phytochem. Rev., 11:39-75.
- Suriyo, T.; Pholphana, N.; Ungtrakul, T.; Rangkadilok, N.; Panomvana, D.; Thiantanawat, A.; Pongpun, W. And Satayavivad, J. (2017). Clinical Parameters following multiple oral dose administration of a standardized Andrographis paniculata capsule in healthy Thai subjects. Planta Med. (Online first).
- Szklarczyk, D.; Franceschini, A.; Wyder, S.; Forslund, K.; Heller, D.; Huerta-Cepas, J.; Simonovic, M.; Roth, A.; Santos, A.; Tsafou, K.P.; Kuhn, M.; Bork, P.; Jensen, L.J. and von Mering, C. (2015). STRING v10: proteinprotein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. Nucleic Acids Res., 43:447-452.
- Tamura, K.; Stecher, G.; Peterson, D.; Filipski, A. and Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. Mol. Biol. Evol., 30:2725-2729.
- Wass, M.N.; Kelley, L.A. and Sternberg, M.J. (2010). 3DLigandSite: predicting ligand-binding sites using similar structures. Nucleic Acids Res., 38:469-73. Three dimensional (3D) ligand site (http:// www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/3dligandsite/)
- Xiang, Z. (2006) Advances in homology protein structure modeling. Curr. Protein Pept. Sci., 7:217-227.
- Zaheer, M. and Giri, C.C. (2015). Multiple shoot induction and jasmonic versus salicylic acid driven elicitation for enhanced andrographolide production in *Andrographis paniculata*. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult., 122:553-563.
- Zaheer, M. and Giri, C.C (2017) Enhanced diterpene lactone (andrographolide) production from elicited adventitious root cultures of *Andrographis paniculata*. Res. Chem. Intermed., 43:2433-2444.